

## REMARKS

The Examiner has rejected claims 10, 2, 4 and 7 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as having been anticipated by Young. Young teaches a peripheral computer enclosure that includes a casing having an open front and a back, a plurality of slots that are disposed inside the casing, a plurality of canisters each of which is disposed in one of the slots, a plurality of storage devices each of which is disposed in one of the canisters, a back plane, a power supply, a blower, a controller card and a personality board. The peripheral computer enclosure of claim 1 includes a casing, a plurality of slots, a plurality of canisters being disposed in the slots, a plurality of storage devices, a plurality of canisters, a back plane, a power supply, a blower, a controller card and a personality board. The casing has an open front and a back. The slots are disposed inside the casing. The back plane is disposed in the casing. The power supply is disposed in the casing. The blower is disposed in the casing. Each storage device is disposed in one of the canisters. The back plane interconnects the storage devices. The personality board is connected to the back plane. The controller card is connected to the back plane. The applicant has cancelled claim 10 and has added claim 20. The peripheral computer enclosure of claim 20 includes a casing, a u-shaped tray and a pair of guide rails. The casing has a compartment that has a rectangular cross-section and a depth. The u-shaped tray has a rectangular cross-section and a depth that are slightly less than the rectangular cross-section and the depth of the compartment, respectively, and also has a pair of side walls. The u-shaped tray is able to slide freely, but snugly, into the compartment of the casing. Each guide rail is mechanically coupled to the side walls of the u-shaped tray walls. The guide rails of the u-shaped tray slide freely, but snugly, into the compartment on the guide rail disposed

inside the casing. Claims 2, 4 and 7 now depend from claim 20.

The Examiner has rejected claims 3, 6, 8 and 9 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as not being patentable over Young as applied to claim 1 and further in view of Schultz. The Examiner states that the apparatus of Shultz teaches a peripheral computer enclosure that includes personality boards that are connected to a back plane. Claims 3, 6, 8 and 9 now depend from claim 20.

The Examiner has rejected claim 5 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as not being patentable over Young as applied to claim 1 and Schultz and further in view of Lash. Claim 5 now depends from claim 20.

The applicant now believes that his application is in condition for allowance and requests a speedy action to that end.

Dated: November 5, 2004

Respectfully submitted,



W. Edward Johansen  
Registration No. 26,744